

Boston, MA 02110

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,841	11/25/2003		Solomon Assefa	MIT10307	6945
	7590	11/04/2005		EXAMINER	
Matthew E. Connors			NGUYEN, DUNG T		
Gauthier & Co	onnors L	LP			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
225 Franklin Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 2300				2828	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/721,841	ASSEFA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dung (Michael) T. Nguyen	2828					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
•	_ · · · · _ 						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice ur	•	•					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-72 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,14-22,30-34,42-44,53,54 an</u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,14-22,30-34,42-44,53,54 and 62-64</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>7-13,23-29,35-41,45-52,55-61 a</u>	DIX Claim(s) 7-13,23-29,35-41,45-52,55-61 and 65-72 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	a not of the column a copies not re						
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5	· · /	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/25/03,08/11/05</u> .	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/721,841

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Claims 4, 7, 20, 23, 35, and 55 are objected to because of the following informalities: the specification does not disclose the limitation of these above claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 7, 23, 35, and 55 are objected to because of the following informalities: it is not clear how less reflective one or more of the optical reflectors are. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 16-22, 32-34, 42, 53-54, and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson et al. (6198860).

With respect to claims 1, 17, 33-34, and 53-54, Fig.12B shows a microcavity structure comprising: a first waveguide 1200 including a first photonic crystal microcavity (col.1, l.62); and a second waveguide 1202 including a second photonic crystal microcavity (col.1, l.62); and

a microcavity active region (resonant structure) (col.2, 1.33-40) that is created by overlapping said first and second microcavities.

With respect to claims 2 and 18, Fig. 12B shows said microcavity overlap is defined by crossing of at least two of the said microcavity waveguide at an angle (waveguides 1200 and 1202 are overlapped by 90 degrees).

With respect to claims 3-5, 6, and 19-22, Col.7, l.21-23 and Fig. 12B discloses the variation in material refractive index of the holes 1204 in the waveguides (as disclosed in the specification of this application, the variation in material refractive index of the holes will act as optical reflectors), where the claimed and prior art structures are the same, claimed properties (the microcavities being reflective) may be presumed inherent.

With respect to claims 16, 32, 42, and 62, Fig. 12B shows at least one of said waveguides is used to guide light. They are disclosed as waveguides, therefore by definition they guide light.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-15, 30-31, 43-44, and 63-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. (6198860) in view of Scherer et al. (6711200). Johnson disclose all limitations of the claims except for the quantum dot active material.

Scherer teach the quantum dot active material (col.4, 1.27-31).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Johnson what is taught by Scherer to provide an ideal material for fabricating active structures with high surface to volume ratios such as photonic laser microcavities (col.4, 1.28-31).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-13, 23-29, 35-41, 45-52, 55-61, and 65-70 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,841

Art Unit: 2828

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Michael Dung Nguyen